

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF
PROPOSITION 1

Rebuttal to Yes on Proposition 1 Argument

No on 1: A POLITICAL BOONDOGGLE.

Politicians who can't solve our budget crisis, fix healthcare or our schools, put Proposition 1 on the ballot. Even they admit the train is likely to cost at least \$40 billion dollars so this is just a "partial payment" by taxpayers, with NO guarantee it will ever get finished.

The project has already wasted \$58 million on consultants, studies, European travel and glossy brochures. Prop. 1 allows the bureaucrats and politicians to *spend billions more without ever laying one inch of track*. California taxpayers would be on the hook for that money *even if the project were shut down*.

The special interests backing Proposition 1 are notorious for their *cost overruns*. They stand to make billions off this scam.

No on 1: WILL COST TAXPAYERS \$19,200,000,000.

Politicians admit that principal and interest payments will cost California taxpayers \$640 million dollars every year for 30 years.

How do the politicians plan on paying for this? NEW TAXES or cuts to critical programs like our schools? *Don't be misled – taxpayers are on the hook for the whole \$19,200,000,000.*

No on 1: EXPAND EXISTING TRANSIT SYSTEMS INSTEAD.

Californians' problem is not getting from San Francisco to Los Angeles, it's getting into work each day.

Investing the same amount of money in regional transit and highway congestion relief would reduce pollution and our reliance on foreign oil.

NO ON PROP 1: NO accountability, NO congestion relief for suffering commuters and TAXPAYERS CAN'T AFFORD IT!

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF
PROPOSITION 1

Hon. Tom McClintock
State Senator

Jon Coupal, President
Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

Richard Tolmach, President
California Rail Foundation

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**